IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.8091 OF 2005

Mr.Nagesh Patil .. Applicant
Versus
State of Maharashtra .. Respondent

Mr.R.F.Lambay i/b. M/s.Lambay & Co. for applicant
Mr.S.S.Tatkare, A.P.P. for State.

CORAM : S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.
DATE : 14th December 2005.

P.C.

. Heard Mr.Lambay for applicant.

2. I have perused application which alleges that the applicant has not instructed anybody to file an application for anticipatory bail on his behalf, although, he is arrayed as accused in the subject C.R. It is alleged that the applicant had not decided to move along with others in

criminal application No.7915 of 2005. It is the specific case of the applicant that he was neither aware of a complaint/ F.I.R. nor has he authorised anyone to appear on his behalf and further he has not signed vakalatnama in favour of anyone.

3. In my view, it is not necessary to take any cognisance of this application because the applicant is at liberty to approach the police authorities with the grievance set out therein. He can set the criminal law in motion and if he has any grievance against police authorities in that behalf, it is always open to him to take out appropriate proceedings. Reserving all such liberties and keeping all contentions of both parties open in that behalf, present applicant is disposed of.

4. Mr.Mundergi, learned Senior Counsel appearing for applicants in Criminal Application

No.7915 of 2005 files three common affidavits of Applicant Nos. 1, 2 and 3 in Application No.7915 of 2005 in both these applications. The same are taken on record.

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J)